

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/526,814	03/16/2000	Michael B. Ball	2987.2US(96-790.1 1584		
75	590 01/22/2003				
Joseph A Walkowski Trask Britt & Rossa			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2550			HA, NATHAN W		
Salt lake City, I	UT 84110				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/526,814					
		Examiner		BALL, MICHAEL B.			
		Nathan W	На	Art Unit 2814			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  - Status							
1)🖂	THE ONLY THE						
2a)							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 15-25</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 15-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	and/or election requ	uirement.				
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) obj	ected to by the Exam	iner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)∐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) [ 15)∏ Acl	I he translation of the foreign language nowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional applica	tion has been receive	ad	орповнопу.		
Attachment(s)							
☐ Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	4) [ 3) 5) [ 9(s) <u>17</u> . 6) [	Notice of Informal Pate	O-413) Paper No(s). nt Application (PTO-1	<u>.</u> 52)		
Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 15-17, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kunitomo et al. (US 5,436,503, previously cited, hereinafter Kunitomo.)

In regard to claims 1, 15, and 17, in figs. 3-4, Kunitomo discloses a semiconductor die comprising:

a sheet-like, nonconductive structure 11 having a first surface, and a second surface for attachment to the semiconductor die; and

a plurality of electrical conductive discrete pads 12 attached to the first surface, the plurality of electrically conductive discrete pads each having an electrical connection portion 12 and an electrical isolated 13 comprising a portion facing the first surface and a periphery 18, for example, see fig. 4;

an adapter 17, at both ends of the structure in fig. 9, having a first plurality of discrete electrical contacts on a first surface thereof.

In regard to claims 2-3, see fig. 3.

In regard to claim 4, see col. 6, lines 60-68.

Art Unit: 2814

In regard to claims 16, 19-25, see fig. 3.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunitomo et al. as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Orcutt (US 4,712,129, previously cited.)

In regard to claim 18, Matsuda et al. does not expressly discuss materials having matching TCE between the die and the layer that the die attaches to. It is noted that the thermal matching it very well known in the art of semiconductor. This matching helps to prevent the cracking might happen under high temperature, see the abstract. For example, Orcutt discloses that the texture and the die have similar TCE in order to prevent the cracking between the die and the substrate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the matching TCE of Orcutt's in Kunitomo et al. in order to prevent the cracking between the die and the substrate.

Art Unit: 2814

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/6/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants submit that contact pads, in '503, are not "electrically isolated about the portion facing the first surface". In regard to figs. 4 and 9 of '503, the pads 12 and solder balls 9 are surrounded and isolated by non-conductive adhesive 13, 13 is the electrically isolated portion. This isolated portion faces the first surface of the sheet-like 11 and peripheral therein, 12, for example. This illustration meets the language as claimed in claim 1. It is noted that the limitation "electrically isolated about the portion facing the first surface" does not express the necessary of isolation layer to be in between the substrate and the conductive pads.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan W. Ha whose telephone number is (703) 305-3507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-7:00(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and 308-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2814

Page 5

Nathan Ha January 14, 2003

SUPERVISORY PRIME AMIN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800